File No. 168/28

Collection No.

19 .

1 A
III V 1144 27
18.6

Jaipur - Residency

Pres. / Serial Nos. 1 — 4

Subject.

Irregular grant of increments to subordinates in the C.P.M. staff & recission of excess so drawn

Previous References.	Later References.
F 7/09	F 32/1930

S. 97. (Blue.)

MGIPC—PP—725DCF—S&P—(G-1576)—14-3-28—80,000.

SN. 1. Letter Ref No. 1665-A-28, dated the 19th May 28,
from the Collector & Dist. Magte, Coimbatore

The Pay & Accounts Officer may be addressed.

Draft submitted.

C.R.S.
2/6/28

20/6/28

S. 2. Issued vide 5431 dt 8. 6. 28

SN. 3. Letter Rc: No. G.B. 0.S. 683, dated the 15th June 1928,
from the Pay & Accts. Officer, Bangalore.

The sum of Rs. 55-2-0 which has been paid in excess to Clerk Annamalai Mudaliar may be remitted by the Resident.

Draft submitted.

Chitkantia Iyer
22/6/28

From para (2)

22/6

This may be done

Alex
22/6

Secretary

Asst

SN. 4. Issued No. 6140, dt 27.6.28.

Ref. No. 1605-A-28 (Enc.2)

Office of the Collector and Dt. Magistrate,
Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

Dated 19th May 1928.

FRO

T. B. Russell, Esq. I. C. S.,

COLLECTOR AND DISTRICT MAGISTRATE
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the
Resident in Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Increments - Irregular grant of - Remission of excess - Annamalai Mudaliar, C.R., clerk, office of the Collector and District Magistrate.

Annamalai Mudaliar, a clerk of my office, was granted leave without allowance in June 1919, but was permitted to rejoin duty on 29-11-20 and appointed in the scale of Rs.35-2-65 with effect from that date, vide para 2 (2) of Mr. Tasker's letter No.3733 dated 3-12-1921. The Government of India sanctioned the revision of pay of the establishments in the Station with effect from 1-8-1920, vide Government of India's No.622-Estabt-A.dated 2-3-1921 communicated with First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident's endorsement No.2395/30-1904 dated 8-3-1921. Hence the increments of most of the staff were due on August 1st of each year. In 1921 while taking Mr. Tasker's sanction for the 1st annual increment of pay in the case of the officials of the Collector & District Magistrate and of the Bench Court, Annamalai Mudaliar was erroneously shown to be drawing Rs. 35/-, in the new scale of 35-2-65, with effect from 1-8-20, instead of from 29-11-20, the date of his actual appointment in the new scale and he was permitted to draw the 1st increment with effect from 1-8-1921 and the subsequent increments with effect from 1st August of each year. The pay and Accounts Officer has now asked me to recover from the clerk the excess amount of Rs.55-2-0

as

as detailed in the margin, due to the irregular grant of increments before the due date, vide enclosed copies of correspondence noted in the margin.

@ 1-8-21 to 23-11-21 @ Rs.(37-35)	[illegible] days.
	=7-14-0 x 7
	= Rs 55-2-0

1) Extract of item VII (2) of Pay & Accounts Officer's No.P.B.1/2210 dated 22-11-27 and my reply thereto.

2) Extract of item VII (2) of Pay & Accounts Officer's No. P.B./6/222 dated 25-4-1928.

As the mistake was made bonafide in the 1st instance, I request you to obtain the sanction of the Hon'ble the Resident to remit the disallowances in question under para 11 of Chapter I to the Special rules and orders relating to the Bangalore Treasury.

The clerk's increment will be granted with effect effect from 29th November of each year in future.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

COLLECTOR & DISTRICT MAGISTRATE.

DESPATCHED
26 MAY 1928

AVR
25.5.28

Extract of item VII (2) of Pay and Accounts Officer's
No. P.B./6/222 dated 25-4-1928.

X X X X X

C.R. Annamalai Mudaliar.

In the service book he is stated as having entered the scale of 35-2-65 from 1-3-1921 but from the reply it appears that he was appointed as provisionally substantive in the scale of 35-2-65 from 29-11-1920. If the former is a mistake, necessary note may be made in the service book. The amount excess drawn up to date due to the irregular grant of increments before the due date may now be recovered.

~~Separate communication will follow.~~

X X X

" EXTRACT "

M. Ecathappa
25.5.28
~~For~~ For SHERISTADAR.

AOR
25

Extract of item VII (2) of Pay and Accounts Officer's No.
P.B.1/2210 dated 22-11-1927 and My reply thereto.

X X X X X X

C. R. Annamalai Mudaliar.

(2) He having entered the scale 35 - 2 - 65 on 1 - 3 - 1921 please state how his first increment was allowed from 1-8-1921.

(2) He was appointed previously on Rs.35/- in 35-2-65 with effect from 29-11-1920, the date of his return from leave. His 1st increment appears to have been erroneously allowed from 1-8-1921 instead of from 29-11-1921.

X X X X X

" EXTRACT "

AWR 25.5.4.

M. Ecclesiastes 25.6.26
~~For~~ for SHERISTADAR.

[R. O. 106 (a)].

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

OFFICE OF THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 8 June 1928

No. 5431 ENCLOS. 3

A copy of the marginally* noted letter, and ~~with~~ enclosures, is forwarded to the Pay and Accounts Officer, Bangalore, for favour of remarks.

Ref *No. 1605-A-28 dated the 19th May 1928 from the Collector and Dist. Magistrate, Cent. St., Bangalore.

SN. 1 (G. M.)

for Secretary to the Resident.

C.R.S. 2/6/28

532—15-9-1927—5,000—RPB.

Telegraphic Address: Bangacts, BANGALORE.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

The Pay and Accounts Office BANGALORE.

Telephone : Office 47

Ref. No.P.B.O.S.683 15/18 June 1928

Enclosures

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the

Resident in Mysore, BANGALORE.

5313
Sir, 18/6/28

168/28 With reference to your Endt. 5431, dated 8-6-1928 forwarding for remarks letter No.1605A/28, dated 19-5-1928 from the Collector, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, requesting to remit Rs.55-2-0 being the amount paid in excess to Mr. C. R. Annamalai Mudaliar owing to the irregular grant of increments before the due date, I have the honour to state that as the over-drawal is explained to be due to a mistake committed bonafide at the time of granting the 1st increment, the Government servant concerned may be considered to have drawn it under a reasonable belief that he was entitled to it. There is no objection to the same being remitted by the Hon'ble the Resident under Art.228(1)(1) of Civil Account Code Volume I.

The reasons for remitting the disallowance may kindly be recorded in the order sanctioning the remission- Vide the last sentence of Article 228 of Civil Account Code Volume I.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Pay and Accounts Officer
BANGALORE.

SHN.